

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION No 666 of 1985

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.D.DAVE and
MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

MAGANLAL RANCHODJI PATEL

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MR NS SHETH for Petitioner

MR. K.C.SHAH, LD.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for Respondent No. 1

SERVED for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.D.DAVE and
MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

Date of decision: 22/07/97

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Per: S.D. Dave, J :-

The Contemner Subhaschandra Trivedi presents an affidavit, expressing sorrow and tendering unconditional

apology for his conduct. He has given an undertaking in the said affidavit that, he will never repeat such thing in future. Looking to this unconditional apology coming from the contemner, ld. counsel Mr. Sheth for the petitioner does not press the present proceedings at present.

Looking to the above said unconditional apology being tendered by the contemner, which we accept, and looking to the fact that, ld. counsel Mr. Sheth does not press the present proceedings, in our opinion, the present proceedings require to be disposed of. They are hereby accordingly disposed of. Rule shall stand discharged.

The affidavit being presented by the contemner is taken on record.

/vgn.